

ORDER SHEET

WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Bikash Bhavan, Salt Lake, Kolkata – 700 091.

Present-

**THE HON'BLE SAYEED AHMED BABA, OFFICIATING CHAIRPERSON AND ADMINISTRATIVE MEMBER,
Case No. - OA 147 of 2024**

PALAS PAL - Vs - THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & OTHERS.

Serial No. and Date of order	For the Applicant	: Mrs. Sunita Agarwal Advocate
<u>01</u> 15.04.2024	For the State Respondents	: None
	For the Public Service Commission, West Bengal	: Mr. Sourav Bhattacharjee Advocate

The matter is taken up by the Single Bench pursuant to the order contained in the Notification No. 638-WBAT/2J-15/2016 (Pt.-II) dated 23rd November, 2022 issued in exercise of the powers conferred under Section 5 (6) of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985.

On consent of the learned counsels for the contesting parties, the case is taken up for consideration sitting singly.

The applicant has prayed for a direction to the respondent authorities to grant the appointment in the post of Joint Director of Textiles (Powerloom, Hosiery & Readymade Garments Division) in the Directorate of Textiles.

It appears that by an advertisement No. 02/2023, the respondent authority invited applications for a post of Joint Director of Textiles (Powerloom, Hosiery & Readymade Garments Division). The applicant had participated in the recruitment process and having scored only 51 marks was not selected whereas the successful candidate had scored 65 marks. Mrs.S.Agarwal, learned counsel draws attention to para 4 of information in the advertisement and submits that though the applicant had higher qualification and higher experience, but was not selected as a successful candidate. On examination of this para, it is apparent that the Commission had only stated that in the event of large number of the applicants applying, a screening will be done to select suitable

ORDER SHEET

Form No.

PALAS PAL

Case No. **OA 147 of 2024**

Vs.
THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & OTHERS

candidates for the interview and such screening will be done on the basis of higher qualification / higher marks obtained in the essential qualification or experience. The Tribunal finds that the applicant was invited for such interview and therefore, this particular ground is not relevant. Mrs. Agarwal also has not been able to satisfy why the applicant having scored lesser than the successful candidate is agitating about. The applicant's aggregate marks at 51 was also not disputed. Since no ground has been established to prove that by awarding 51 marks and or for any reason, the applicant was not selected to the post, the Tribunal finds that this application is devoid of any merit and is disposed of without passing any orders.

(SAYEED AHMED BABA)
OFFICIATING CHAIRPERSON AND MEMBER (A)

BLR